

Form PTO-1449 (Modified)		Atty Docket No.	Serial No.
		DYOUPO203US	09/688668
LIST OF PATENTS AND PUBLICATIONS FOR APPLICANT'S INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT		Applicant:	
(Use several sheets if necessary)		Richard Ian Laming et al.	
		Filing Date	Group
			(1756)

JC915 U.S. PRO
09/688668 00
0/16/00

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initial	Document Number	Date	Name	Class	Sub-class	Filing Date if Appropriate
W	5,386,314	01/31/95	Jopson	385	122	
W	5,550,654	08/27/96	Erdogan et al.	385	11	

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

Examiner Initial	Document Number	Date	Country	Class	Sub-class	Translation	
						Yes	No
W	2 299 203 A	09/25/96	GB				
W	2 316 760 A	03/04/98	GB				
W	0 652 653 A1	05/10/95	EP				

OTHER ART

Examiner Initial	Author, Title, Date, Pertinent Pages, etc.
	H. Storoy et al., "Single Polarisation Fibre DFB Laser" ELECTRONICS LETTERS, vol. 33, no. 1, 2 January 1997, pages 56-58. <i>Duplicate</i>
W	S Y Set et al., "Optimization of DSF- and SOA-Based Phase Conjugators by Incorporating Noise-Suppressing Fiber Gratings" IEEE JOURNAL OF QUANTUM ELECTRONICS, vol. 33, no. 10, 1 October 1997, pages 1694-1698.
	S Y Set et al., "High Bitrate Operation of a Novel Optical Phase Conjugator Using Inline Fibre DFB Lasers" PROCEEDINGS OF ECOC '98 - '24" EUROPEAN CONFERENCE ON OPTICAL COMMUNICATION, MADRID, SPAIN, 20-24 September 1998, vol. 1, pages 183-184. <i>Not Received</i>
W	Shimji et al., "Polarization Independent, All-Fiber Phase Conjugation Incorporating Inline Fiber DFB Lasers" IEEE PHOTONICS TECHNOLOGY LETTERS, vol. 10, no. 10, October 1998, pages 1407-1409. <i>Received</i>

EXAMINER	<i>M. W.</i>	DATE CONSIDERED	<i>3/21/02</i>
----------	--------------	-----------------	----------------

EXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609; Draw line through citation if not in conformance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

Information Disclosure Statement PTO-1449 (Modified)

The identification of any reference is not intended to be, and should not be understood as being, an admission that such publication, in fact, constitutes "prior art" within the meaning of applicable law since, for example, a given reference may have a later effective date than first seems apparent or the reference may have an effective date which can be antedated. The "prior art" status of any reference is a matter to be resolved during prosecution.
C:\152\DWB\DOU\PO203\PO203US.1449.wpd (IDS1449.FRM) (2/97)